Advanced Analysis of Algorithms

Dr. Qaiser Abbas Department of Computer Science & IT, University of Sargodha, Sargodha, 40100, Pakistan qaiser.abbas@uos.edu.pk

Floyd-Warshall Algorithm (Background)

- For finding shortest paths between *all pairs* of vertices, run Bellman-Ford or Dijkstra's algorithm for each vertex in the graph. Thus, the run times for these strategies would be (for dense graphs where $|E| \approx |V|^2$):
 - Bellman-Ford:

 $- |V| O(VE) \approx O(V^4)$

– Dijkstra

 $-|V| O(V^2 + E) \approx O(V^3)$

 $-|V| O(V \lg V + E) \approx O(V^2 \lg V + VE)$

• For dense graphs an often more efficient algorithm (with very low hidden constants) for finding all pairs shortest paths is the *Floyd-Warshall algorithm*.

- The working of Floyd-Warshall algorithm is based on the property of *intermediate* vertices of a shortest path. An *intermediate* vertex for a path p = <v₁, v₂, ..., v_j> is any vertex other than v₁ or v_j.
- If the vertices of a graph G are indexed by {1, 2, ..., n}, then consider a subset of vertices {1, 2, ..., k}.
 Assume p is a minimum weight path from vertex i to vertex j whose intermediate vertices are drawn from the subset {1, 2, ..., k}.

- If we consider vertex k on the path, then either:
 - k is not an intermediate vertex of p (i.e., is not used in the minimum weight path)

 \Rightarrow all intermediate vertices are in {1, 2, ..., k-1}

– k is an intermediate vertex of p (i.e., is used in the minimum weight path)

 \Rightarrow we can divide p at k giving two subpaths p_1 and p_2 giving $v_i \sim k \sim v_j$



Figure 25.3 Path p is a shortest path from vertex i to vertex j, and k is the highest-numbered intermediate vertex of p. Path p_1 , the portion of path p from vertex i to vertex k, has all intermediate vertices in the set $\{1, 2, ..., k - 1\}$. The same holds for path p_2 from vertex k to vertex j.

- For D⁰_{ij} matrix entries, if i=j, then D⁰_{ij}= 0 and if i≠j, then D⁰_{ij} = ∞ if there is no any edge.
- If a quantity d^(k)_{ij} as the minimum weight of the path from vertex *i* to vertex *j* with intermediate vertices drawn from the set {1, 2, ..., *k*}, we have the following recursive solution

$$d_{ij}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} w_{ij} & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \min\left(d_{ij}^{(k-1)}, d_{ik}^{(k-1)} + d_{kj}^{(k-1)}\right) & \text{if } k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

• Optimal values (when k = n) in a matrix as $D^{(n)} = \left(d_{ij}^{(n)}\right) = \delta(i, j)$

- Different methods for constructing shortest paths in the Floyd- Warshall algorithm.
 - One way, is to compute the matrix D of shortest-path weights and then construct the predecessor matrix Π from the D matrix.
 - Alternatively, we can compute the predecessor matrix Π while the algorithm computes the matrices $D^{(k)}$. Specifically, we compute a sequence of matrices $\Pi^{(0)}$, $\Pi^{(1)}$, ..., $\Pi^{(n)}$, where $\Pi = \Pi^{(n)}$ and we define $\pi_{ij}^{(k)}$ as the predecessor of vertex j on a shortest path from vertex i with all intermediate vertices in the set from $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$

• We can give a recursive formulation of $\pi_{ij}^{(k)}$ When k=0, a shortest path from i to j has no intermediate vertices at all. Thus,

$$\pi_{ij}^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \text{NIL} & \text{if } i = j \text{ or } w_{ij} = \infty ,\\ i & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } w_{ij} < \infty . \end{cases}$$

For k ≥ 1, if we take the path i → k → j, where k ≠ j, then the predecessor of j we choose is the same as the predecessor of j we chose on a shortest path from k with all intermediate vertices in the set {1,2,...k}. Otherwise, we choose the same predecessor of j that we chose on a shortest path from i with all intermediate vertices in the set {1,2,...k-1}. Formally, for k≥1

$$\pi_{ij}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \pi_{ij}^{(k-1)} & \text{if } d_{ij}^{(k-1)} \le d_{ik}^{(k-1)} + d_{kj}^{(k-1)} \\ \pi_{kj}^{(k-1)} & \text{if } d_{ij}^{(k-1)} > d_{ik}^{(k-1)} + d_{kj}^{(k-1)} \end{cases},$$

FLOYD-WARSHALL(W)

1.
$$n = W.rows$$

2. $D^{(0)} = W$
3. $\Pi^{(0)} = \pi^{(0)}{}_{ij} = NIL \text{ if } i=j \text{ or } w_{ij} = \infty$
 $= i \quad \text{if } i\neq j \text{ and } w_{ij} < \infty$
4. for $k = 1$ to n
5. let $D^{(k)} = (d^{(k)}{}_{ij})$ be a new *nxn* matrix
6. for $i = 1$ to n
7. for $j = 1$ to n
8. $d^{k}{}_{ij} = \min(d^{(k-1)}{}_{ij}, d^{(k-1)}{}_{ik} + d^{(k-1)}{}_{kj})$
9. $if d^{(k-1)}{}_{ij} \leq d^{(k-1)}{}_{ik} + d^{(k-1)}{}_{kj}$
10. $\pi^{(k)}{}_{ij} = \pi^{(k-1)}{}_{ij}$
11. else
12. $\pi^{(k)}{}_{ij} = \pi^{(k-1)}{}_{kj}$
13. return $D^{(n)}$

30/01/2015

- Basically, the algorithm works by repeatedly exploring paths between every pair using each vertex as an intermediate vertex.
- Since Floyd-Warshall is simply three (tight) nested loops, the run time is clearly O(V³).

• Example:



• Example:

- Initialization: (k = 0)



• Example:

- Iteration 1: (k = 1) Shorter paths from 2 \sim 3 and 2 \sim 4 are found through vertex 1



• Example:

- *Iteration 2*: (k = 2) Shorter paths from 4 \sim 1, 5 \sim 1, and 5 \sim 3 are found through vertex 2



- Example:
 - *Iteration 3*: (k = 3) No shorter paths are found through vertex 3



- Example:
 - *Iteration 4*: (*k* = 4) Shorter paths from 1 ∞ 2, 1 ∞
 3, 2 ∞ 3, 3 ∞ 1, 3 ∞ 2, 5 ∞ 1, 5 ∞ 2, 5 ∞ 3 are found through vertex 4



- Example:
 - *Iteration 5*: (*k* = 5) No shorter paths are found through vertex 5



• Example:

- The final shortest paths for all pairs is given by



Transitive Closure

- Floyd-Warshall can be used to determine whether or not a graph has *transitive closure*, i.e., whether or not there are paths between all vertices.
 - Assign all edges in the graph to have weight = 1
 - Run Floyd-Warshall
 - Check if all $d_{ij} < n$
- This procedure can implement a slightly more efficient algorithm through the use of logical operators rather than min() and +.

- Floyd-Warshall is efficient for dense graphs, if the graph is sparse then an alternative all pairs shortest path strategy known as *Johnson's algorithm* can be used.
- This algorithm uses Bellman-Ford to detect any negative weight cycles and then *reweighting* the edges to allow Dijkstra's algorithm to find the shortest paths. Has running time O(V² lg V + VE).
- The problem is to find all pairs shortest paths in a given weighted directed Graph and weights may be negative.

- If we apply <u>Dijkstra's Single Source shortest path</u> <u>algorithm</u> O(Vlog V) for every vertex, considering every vertex as source, we can find all pair shortest paths in O(V*VLogV) time.
- So, Dijkstra's SSSP seems to be a better option than <u>Floyd Warshell</u> O(V³), but the problem with Dijkstra's algorithm is, it doesn't work for negative weight edge.
- The idea of Johnson's algorithm is to re-weight all edges and make them all positive, then apply Dijkstra's algorithm for every vertex.

- How to transform a given graph to a graph with all nonnegative weight edges?
- Adding weight to all edges. Unfortunately, this doesn't work.
- In a weighted graph, assume that the shortest path from a source 's' to a destination 't' is correctly calculated using a shortest path algorithm. Is the following statement true?
 - If we increase weight of every edge by 1, the shortest path always remains same.

(A) Yes

(B) No

- Answer: (B) (Explanation is on next slide)

- **Explanation:** See the following counterexample.
- There are 4 edges s→a, a→b, b→t and s→t of wights 1, 1, 1 and 4 respectively. The shortest path from s to t is s-a, a-b, b-t. If we increase weight of every edge by 1, the shortest path changes to s-t.



 So, If there are multiple paths from a vertex u to v, then all paths must be increased by same amount, so that the shortest path remains the shortest in the transformed graph.

- The idea of Johnson's algorithm is to assign a weight to every vertex. Let the weight assigned to vertex u be h[u].
- We reweight edges using vertex weights. For example, for an edge (u, v) of weight w(u, v), the new weight becomes w(u, v) + h[u] – h[v].
- The great thing about this reweighting is, all set of paths between any two vertices are increased by same amount and all negative weights become nonnegative.

- How do we calculate h[] values?
 - Bellman-Ford algorithm is used for this purpose.
 Following is the complete algorithm. A new vertex is added to the graph and connected to all existing vertices. The shortest distance values from new vertex to all existing vertices are h[] values.

Theory of Algorithm

1) Let the given graph be G. Add a new vertex s to the graph, add edges from new vertex to all vertices of G. Let the modified graph be G'.

2) Run <u>Bellman-Ford algorithm</u> on G' with s as source. Let the distances calculated by Bellman-Ford be h[0], h[1], .. h[V-1]. If we find a negative weight cycle, then return. Note that the negative weight cycle cannot be created by new vertex s as there is no edge to s. All edges are from s.

3) Reweight the edges of original graph. For each edge (u, v), assign the new weight as "original weight + h[u] - h[v]".

4) Remove the added vertex s and run <u>Dijkstra's algorithm</u> for every vertex.

```
JOHNSON(G, w)
     compute G', where G' \cdot V = G \cdot V \cup \{s\},
 1
          G'.E = G.E \cup \{(s, v) : v \in G.V\}, \text{ and }
          w(s, v) = 0 for all v \in G.V
     if BELLMAN-FORD(G', w, s) = FALSE
 2
 3
          print "the input graph contains a negative-weight cycle"
     else for each vertex v \in G'. V
 4
 5
               set h(v) to the value of \delta(s, v)
                    computed by the Bellman-Ford algorithm
          for each edge (u, v) \in G'.E
 6
 7
               \widehat{w}(u,v) = w(u,v) + h(u) - h(v)
 8
          let D = (d_{uv}) be a new n \times n matrix
          for each vertex u \in G.V
 9
               run DIJKSTRA(G, \hat{w}, u) to compute \hat{\delta}(u, v) for all v \in G.V
10
               for each vertex \nu \in G.V
11
                    d_{uv} = \hat{\delta}(u, v) + h(v) - h(u)
12
13
          return D
```

- How does the transformation ensure nonnegative weight edges?
- The following property is always true about h[] values as they are shortest distances.
 - h[v] <= h[u] + w(u, v) The property simply means, shortest distance from s to v must be smaller than or equal to shortest distance from s to u plus weight of edge (u, v).
 - The new weights are w(u, v) + h[u] h[v]. The value of the new weights must be nonnegative because of the inequality "h[v] <= h[u] + w(u, v)".</p>

• Example:

- Let us consider the following graph.



 We add a source s and add edges from s to all vertices of the original graph. In the following diagram s is 4.

We calculate the shortest distances from 4 to all other vertices (0,1,2,3) using Bellman-Ford algorithm as h[] = {0, -5, -1, 0}.. Then Remove the source vertex 4 and reweight the edges using formula. w(u, v) = w(u, v) + h[u] - h[v].



• Since all weights are positive now, we can run Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm for every vertex as source.

- **Time Complexity:** The main steps in algorithm are Bellman Ford Algorithm called once and Dijkstra called V times.
- Time complexity of Bellman Ford is O(VE) and time complexity of Dijkstra is O(VLogV). So overall time complexity is O(V²log V + VE).
- The time complexity of Johnson's algorithm becomes same as <u>Floyd Warshell</u> when the graphs is complete (For a complete graph E = O(V²). But for sparse graphs, the algorithm performs much better than <u>Floyd Warshell</u>.

• **Step1:** Take any source vertex's' outside the graph and make distance from's' to every vertex '0'.



• **Step2:** Apply Bellman-Ford Algorithm and calculate minimum weight on each vertex.

• Step3:

$$-w (a, b) = w (a, b) + h (a) - h (b) = -3 + (-1) - (-4) = 0$$

$$-w (b, a) = w (b, a) + h (b) - h (a) = 5 + (-4) - (-1) = 2$$

$$-w (b, c) = w (b, c) + h (b) - h (c) = 3 + (-4) - (-1) = 0$$

$$-w (c, a) = w (c, a) + h (c) - h (a) = 1 + (-1) - (-1) = 1$$

$$-w (d, c) = w (d, c) + h (d) - h (c) = 4 + 0 - (-1) = 5$$

$$-w (d, a) = w (d, a) + h (d) - h (a) = -1 + 0 - (-1) = 0$$

$$-w (a, d) = w (a, d) + h (a) - h (d) = 2 + (-1) - 0 = 1$$

- **Step 4:** Now all edge weights are positive and now we can apply Dijkstra's Algorithm on each vertex and make a matrix corresponds to each vertex in a graph
- Case 1: 'a' as a source vertex





• Case 2: 'b' as a source vertex





• Case 3: 'c' as a source vertex



c, a	1
c, b	1
C, C	0
c, d	2

• **Case4:**'d' as source vertex





	а	b	С	d
а	0	0	0	1
b	1	0	0	2
С	1	1	0	2
d	0	0	0	0

5

• Step5:

$d_{uv} \leftarrow \delta(u, v) + I$	h (v) - h (u)		a		þ
d(a, a) = 0 + (-1)) - (-1) = 0		(-1)	-3	── (-4)
d(a, b) = 0 + (-4)) - (-1) = -3			_	Ť
d(a, c) = 0 + (-1)	(-1) = 0				
d(a, d) = 1 + (0)	$(-1)^{\prime} = 2$	-1	2	1	3
d(b, a) = 1 + (-1)	(-4) = 4				
d(b, b) = 0 + (-4)	(-4) = 0		$\setminus \downarrow$		$\overline{}$
d(c, a) = 1 + (-1)	(-1) = 1		γ		-1
d(c, b) = 1 + (-4)	(-1) = -2			4	
d(c, c) = 0	, (_,				C
d(c, d) = 2 + (0)	- (-1) = 3				
d(d, a) = 0 + (-1)	(1) - (1) - 1				
d(d, b) = 0 + (-1)) = (0) = -1				
d(d, b) = 0 + (-4)	(0) = -4				
a(a, c) = 0 + (-1)	(0) = -1		-		-
a (a, a) = 0		a	b	C	d
	a	0	-3	0	2
	u C	4	2	0	3
1/2015	d	_1	-2	_1	0
	$d_{uv} \leftarrow \delta(u, v) + d(a, a) = 0 + (-1) d(a, b) = 0 + (-4) d(a, c) = 0 + (-4) d(a, c) = 0 + (-1) d(a, d) = 1 + (0) d(b, a) = 1 + (-1) d(b, b) = 0 + (-4) d(c, a) = 1 + (-4) d(c, c) = 0 + (-4) d(c, c) = 0 d(c, d) = 2 + (0) d(d, a) = 0 + (-1) d(d, b) = 0 + (-4) d(d, c) = 0 + (-1) d(d, d) = 0$	$d_{uv} \leftarrow \delta(u, v) + h(v) - h(u)$ $d(a, a) = 0 + (-1) - (-1) = 0$ $d(a, b) = 0 + (-4) - (-1) = -3$ $d(a, c) = 0 + (-1) - (-1) = 0$ $d(a, d) = 1 + (0) - (-1) = 2$ $d(b, a) = 1 + (-1) - (-4) = 4$ $d(b, b) = 0 + (-4) - (-4) = 0$ $d(c, a) = 1 + (-1) - (-1) = 1$ $d(c, b) = 1 + (-4) - (-1) = -2$ $d(c, c) = 0$ $d(c, d) = 2 + (0) - (-1) = 3$ $d(d, a) = 0 + (-1) - (0) = -1$ $d(d, b) = 0 + (-4) - (0) = -4$ $d(d, c) = 0 + (-1) - (0) = -1$ $d(d, d) = 0$ a b c a	$d_{uv} \leftarrow \delta(u, v) + h(v) - h(u)$ $d(a, a) = 0 + (-1) - (-1) = 0$ $d(a, b) = 0 + (-4) - (-1) = -3$ $d(a, c) = 0 + (-1) - (-1) = 0$ $d(a, d) = 1 + (0) - (-1) = 2$ $d(b, a) = 1 + (-1) - (-4) = 4$ $d(b, b) = 0 + (-4) - (-4) = 0$ $d(c, a) = 1 + (-1) - (-1) = 1$ $d(c, b) = 1 + (-4) - (-1) = -2$ $d(c, c) = 0$ $d(c, d) = 2 + (0) - (-1) = 3$ $d(d, a) = 0 + (-1) - (0) = -1$ $d(d, b) = 0 + (-4) - (0) = -1$ $d(d, c) = 0 + (-1) - (0) = -1$ $d(d, d) = 0$ $a = 0$ $b = 4$ $a = 0$ $b = 4$	$d_{uv} \leftarrow \delta(u, v) + h(v) - h(u) d(a, a) = 0 + (-1) - (-1) = 0 d(a, b) = 0 + (-4) - (-1) = -3 d(a, c) = 0 + (-1) - (-1) = 0 d(a, d) = 1 + (0) - (-1) = 2 d(b, a) = 1 + (-1) - (-4) = 4 d(b, b) = 0 + (-4) - (-4) = 0 d(c, a) = 1 + (-1) - (-1) = 1 d(c, b) = 1 + (-4) - (-1) = -2 d(c, c) = 0 d(c, d) = 2 + (0) - (-1) = 3 d(d, a) = 0 + (-1) - (0) = -1 d(d, b) = 0 + (-4) - (0) = -4 d(d, c) = 0 + (-1) - (0) = -1 d(d, d) = 0 1/2015 a \qquad b \qquad 4 \qquad 0 \\ a \qquad b \qquad 4 \qquad 0 \\ a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \\ b \qquad 4 \qquad 0 \\ c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \\ a \qquad -2 $	$d_{uv} \leftarrow \delta(u, v) + h(v) - h(u) d(a, a) = 0 + (-1) - (-1) = 0 d(a, b) = 0 + (-4) - (-1) = -3 d(a, c) = 0 + (-1) - (-1) = 0 d(a, d) = 1 + (0) - (-1) = 2 d(b, a) = 1 + (-1) - (-4) = 4 d(b, b) = 0 + (-4) - (-4) = 0 d(c, a) = 1 + (-1) - (-1) = 1 d(c, b) = 1 + (-4) - (-1) = -2 d(c, c) = 0 d(c, d) = 2 + (0) - (-1) = 3 d(d, a) = 0 + (-1) - (0) = -1 d(d, b) = 0 + (-4) - (0) = -4 d(d, c) = 0 + (-1) - (0) = -1 d(d, d) = 0 1/2015 \frac{a \qquad b \qquad c}{b \qquad 4 \qquad 0 \qquad 3} \\ \frac{b \qquad 4 \qquad 0 \qquad 3}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -2 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -3 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 1 \qquad -3 \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad -3 \qquad 0}{c \qquad 0} \\ \frac{a \qquad 0 \qquad 0}{c$

Homework #6

25.2-2

Show how to compute the transitive closure using the technique of Section 25.1.

25.2-4

As it appears above, the Floyd-Warshall algorithm requires $\Theta(n^3)$ space, since we compute $d_{ij}^{(k)}$ for i, j, k = 1, 2, ..., n. Show that the following procedure, which simply drops all the superscripts, is correct, and thus only $\Theta(n^2)$ space is required.

FLOYD-WARSHALL'(W)

```
1 n = W.rows

2 D = W

3 for k = 1 to n

4 for i = 1 to n

5 for j = 1 to n

6 d_{ij} = \min(d_{ij}, d_{ik} + d_{kj})

7 return D
```

Homework #6

25.2-6

How can we use the output of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm to detect the presence of a negative-weight cycle?

25.2-8

Give an O(VE)-time algorithm for computing the transitive closure of a directed graph G = (V, E).

25.3-4

Professor Greenstreet claims that there is a simpler way to reweight edges than the method used in Johnson's algorithm. Letting $w^* = \min_{(u,v)\in E} \{w(u,v)\}$, just define $\hat{w}(u,v) = w(u,v) - w^*$ for all edges $(u,v) \in E$. What is wrong with the professor's method of reweighting?

25.3**-**6

Professor Michener claims that there is no need to create a new source vertex in line 1 of JOHNSON. He claims that instead we can just use G' = G and let *s* be any vertex. Give an example of a weighted, directed graph *G* for which incorporating the professor's idea into JOHNSON causes incorrect answers. Then show that if *G* is strongly connected (every vertex is reachable from every other vertex), the results returned by JOHNSON with the professor's modification are correct.

30/01/2015